

Isoperimetric profile and quantitative orbit equivalence for lamplighter-like groups

joint work with Vincent Dumoncel

Corentin Correia
Université Paris Cité

10-07-2025

Groups as metric spaces

If S_G generates the group G , let us define for every $g \in G$:

$$|g|_G := \min \{n \geq 0 \mid \exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S_G \cup S_G^{-1}, g = s_1 \dots s_n\}.$$

Metric: $d_{S_G}(g, g') = |gg'^{-1}|_{S_G}$

Example

For $G = \mathbb{Z}$ with $S_G = \{1\}$, we have $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{absolute value}$

Groups as metric spaces

If S_G generates the group G , let us define for every $g \in G$:

$$|g|_G := \min \{n \geq 0 \mid \exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S_G \cup S_G^{-1}, g = s_1 \dots s_n\}.$$

Metric: $d_{S_G}(g, g') = |gg'^{-1}|_{S_G}$

Example

For $G = \mathbb{Z}$ with $S_G = \{1\}$, we have $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{absolute value}$

$$|g|_G = 0 \iff g = 1_G$$

$$|g|_G = 1 \iff g \in (S_G \cup S_G^{-1}) \setminus \{1_G\}$$

Groups as metric spaces

If S_G generates the group G , let us define for every $g \in G$:

$$|g|_G := \min \{n \geq 0 \mid \exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S_G \cup S_G^{-1}, g = s_1 \dots s_n\}.$$

Metric: $d_{S_G}(g, g') = |gg'^{-1}|_{S_G}$

Example

For $G = \mathbb{Z}$ with $S_G = \{1\}$, we have $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{absolute value}$

$$|g|_G = 0 \iff g = 1_G$$

$$|g|_G = 1 \iff g \in (S_G \cup S_G^{-1}) \setminus \{1_G\}$$

Fact

If S_G and S'_G are two finite generating sets of G , then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $g \in G$,

$$\frac{1}{C}|g|_{S'_G} \leq |g|_{S_G} \leq C|g|_{S'_G}.$$

Goal: study of the large-scale geometry of **finitely generated** groups.

Groups as metric spaces

How to geometrically compare finitely generated groups?

\leadsto **quasi-isometry**

Example

\mathbb{Z}^k and \mathbb{Z}^d are quasi-isometric if and only if $k = d$.

Groups as metric spaces

How to geometrically compare finitely generated groups?

↪ **quasi-isometry**

Example

\mathbb{Z}^k and \mathbb{Z}^d are quasi-isometric if and only if $k = d$.

Question

When G and H are not quasi-isometric, how much do their geometries differ?

↪ **Quantitative orbit equivalence** offers a more quantitative comparison between amenable groups

We focus on finitely generated amenable groups.

Orbit equivalence and associated cocycles

(X, μ) : standard and atomless probability space ($\cong ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$)

p.m.p.: probability measure preserving

Orbit equivalence and associated cocycles

(X, μ) : standard and atomless probability space ($\cong ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$)

p.m.p.: probability measure preserving

Definition

Two groups G and H are **orbit equivalent** (OE)

if there exists free p.m.p. G - and H -actions on (X, μ)

having the same orbits: for almost every $x \in X$, $G \cdot x = H \cdot x$.

(X, μ) is called an **orbit equivalence coupling** between G and H .

Orbit equivalence and associated cocycles

(X, μ) : standard and atomless probability space ($\cong ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$)

p.m.p.: probability measure preserving

Definition

Two groups G and H are **orbit equivalent** (OE)

if there exists free p.m.p. G - and H -actions on (X, μ)

having the same orbits: for almost every $x \in X$, $G \cdot x = H \cdot x$.

(X, μ) is called an **orbit equivalence coupling** between G and H .

$$G \cdot x = H \cdot x$$

Orbit equivalence and associated cocycles

(X, μ) : standard and atomless probability space ($\cong ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$)
p.m.p.: probability measure preserving

Definition

Two groups G and H are **orbit equivalent** (OE)
if there exists free p.m.p. G - and H -actions on (X, μ)
having the same orbits: for almost every $x \in X$, $G \cdot x = H \cdot x$.

(X, μ) is called an **orbit equivalence coupling** between G and H .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G \cdot x = H \cdot x & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ g \cdot x \in H \cdot x = \{h \cdot x \mid h \in H\} & & \\ g \cdot x = \underbrace{c_{G,H}(g, x)}_{\in H} \cdot x & & \end{array}$$

Orbit equivalence and associated cocycles

(X, μ) : standard and atomless probability space ($\cong ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$)

p.m.p.: probability measure preserving

Definition

Two groups G and H are **orbit equivalent** (OE)

if there exists free p.m.p. G - and H -actions on (X, μ)

having the same orbits: for almost every $x \in X$, $G \cdot x = H \cdot x$.

(X, μ) is called an **orbit equivalence coupling** between G and H .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \cdot x = H \cdot x & & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ g \cdot x \in H \cdot x = \{h \cdot x \mid h \in H\} & & h \cdot x \in G \cdot x = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\} \\ g \cdot x = \underbrace{c_{G,H}(g, x)}_{\in H} \cdot x & & h \cdot x = \underbrace{c_{H,G}(h, x)}_{\in G} \cdot x \end{array}$$

Orbit equivalence and associated cocycles

(X, μ) : standard and atomless probability space ($\cong ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$)

p.m.p.: probability measure preserving

Definition

Two groups G and H are **orbit equivalent** (OE)

if there exists free p.m.p. G - and H -actions on (X, μ)

having the same orbits: for almost every $x \in X$, $G \cdot x = H \cdot x$.

(X, μ) is called an **orbit equivalence coupling** between G and H .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \cdot x = H \cdot x & & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ g \cdot x \in H \cdot x = \{h \cdot x \mid h \in H\} & & h \cdot x \in G \cdot x = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\} \\ g \cdot x = \underbrace{c_{G,H}(g, x)}_{\in H} \cdot x & & h \cdot x = \underbrace{c_{H,G}(h, x)}_{\in G} \cdot x \end{array}$$

Definition

$c_{G,H}: G \times X \rightarrow H$ and $c_{H,G}: H \times X \rightarrow G$ are the **cocycles** of this coupling.

Quantitative orbit equivalence

Theorem (ORNSTEIN, WEISS 1980)

Any two infinite amenable groups are OE.

Quantitative orbit equivalence: adding restrictions on the cocycles.

Quantitative orbit equivalence

Theorem (ORNSTEIN, WEISS 1980)

Any two infinite amenable groups are OE.

Quantitative orbit equivalence: adding restrictions on the cocycles.

Example

- $(\mathbf{L}^p, \mathbf{L}^q)$ orbit equivalence:
 $|c_{G,H}(g, \cdot)|_H: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is \mathbf{L}^p , $|c_{H,G}(h, \cdot)|_G: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is \mathbf{L}^q

Quantitative orbit equivalence

Theorem (ORNSTEIN, WEISS 1980)

Any two infinite amenable groups are OE.

Quantitative orbit equivalence: adding restrictions on the cocycles.

Example

- $(\mathbf{L}^p, \mathbf{L}^q)$ orbit equivalence:
 $|c_{G,H}(g, \cdot)|_H: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is \mathbf{L}^p , $|c_{H,G}(h, \cdot)|_G: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is \mathbf{L}^q
- (\log, \mathbf{L}^0) -integrable orbit equivalence:
 $\log(|c_{G,H}(g, \cdot)|_H): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is **integrable**, **no requirement** on $c_{H,G}$
- $(\exp, \log^{\circ n})$ -integrable orbit equivalence, etc.

A measurement of amenability: isoperimetric profile

Definition

The **isoperimetric profile** $j_{1,G}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of a finitely generated group G is:

$$j_{1,G}(n) := \sup_{\substack{A \subset G \\ |A| \leq n}} \frac{|A|}{|\partial_G A|}$$

where $\partial_G A := (S_G A) \setminus A$ is the boundary of A .

G is amenable if and only if $j_{1,G}$ is unbounded

"The faster the isoperimetric profile tends to infinity, the more the group is amenable"

How integrable cocycles can be?

Theorem (Delabie, Koivisto, Le Maître, Tessera 2023)

Let G and H be finitely generated groups.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an increasing map such that $t \mapsto t/\varphi(t)$ is increasing.

If there exists a (φ, L^0) -integrable OE coupling from G to H , then

$$\varphi(j_{1,H}(x)) \preccurlyeq j_{1,G}(x).$$

How integrable cocycles can be?

Theorem (Delabie, Koivisto, Le Maître, Tessera 2023)

Let G and H be finitely generated groups.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an increasing map such that $t \mapsto t/\varphi(t)$ is increasing.

If there exists a (φ, L^0) -integrable OE coupling from G to H , then

$$\varphi(j_{1,H}(x)) \preceq j_{1,G}(x).$$

Application:

Theorem (Delabie, Koivisto, Le Maître, Tessera 2023, C. 2025)

There exists an (L^p, L^0) -integrable OE coupling from $\mathbb{Z}^{k+\ell}$ to \mathbb{Z}^k if and only if
 $p < \frac{k}{k+\ell}$.

Quantitative orbit equivalence finely compares the geometry of the groups \mathbb{Z}^d .

Our joint work

Let's talk about our joint work with Vincent Dumoncel

- we consider **lampshuffler groups**

Our joint work

Let's talk about our joint work with Vincent Dumoncel

- we consider **lampshuffler groups**
- we build **orbit equivalence** couplings between these groups

Our joint work

Let's talk about our joint work with Vincent Dumoncel

- we consider **lampshuffler groups**
- we build **orbit equivalence** couplings between these groups
- we **quantify** the cocycles

Our joint work

Let's talk about our joint work with Vincent Dumoncel

- we consider **lampshuffler groups**
- we build **orbit equivalence** couplings between these groups
- we **quantify** the cocycles
- we compute the **isoperimetric profiles** to prove quantitative **optimality** of these couplings.

Lampshuffler groups

Definition

Given a group H ,

$\text{FSym}(H) :=$ set of permutations $\sigma: H \rightarrow H$ of finite support
(i.e. $\{h \in H \mid \sigma(h) \neq h\}$ is finite)

Shuffler $(H) := \text{FSym}(H) \rtimes H$

Notation: $\text{Shuffler}^{\circ 0}(H) = H$, $\text{Shuffler}^{\circ(n+1)}(H) = \text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(\text{Shuffler}(H))$

Lampshuffler groups

Definition

Given a group H ,

$\text{FSym}(H) :=$ set of permutations $\sigma: H \rightarrow H$ of finite support
(i.e. $\{h \in H \mid \sigma(h) \neq h\}$ is finite)

Shuffler $(H) := \text{FSym}(H) \rtimes H$

Notation: $\text{Shuffler}^{\circ 0}(H) = H$, $\text{Shuffler}^{\circ(n+1)}(H) = \text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(\text{Shuffler}(H))$

Genevois and Tessera initiated a classification up to quasi-isometry of lampshufflers.

Question

When two lampshufflers are not quasi-isometric, how much their geometries differ?

For simplicity, we explain our results for the groups $\text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

Isoperimetric profiles of lampshufflers

Theorem (Erschler, Zheng 2023)

$$j_{1, \text{Shuffler}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(x) \simeq \left(\frac{\log(x)}{\log(\log(x))} \right)^{1/d}$$

Isoperimetric profiles of lampshufflers

Theorem (Erschler, Zheng 2023)

$$j_{1, \text{Shuffler}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(x) \simeq \left(\frac{\log(x)}{\log(\log(x))} \right)^{1/d}$$

For general finitely generated amenable groups H , bounds for $j_{1, \text{Shuffler}(H)}$ have been found [Saloff-Coste - Zheng 2021, Erschler - Zheng 2023], **not sharp** in full generality.

For instance, $j_{1, \text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ was not known.

Isoperimetric profiles of lampshufflers

Theorem (Erschler, Zheng 2023)

$$j_{1, \text{Shuffler}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(x) \simeq \left(\frac{\log(x)}{\log(\log(x))} \right)^{1/d}$$

For general finitely generated amenable groups H , bounds for $j_{1, \text{Shuffler}(H)}$ have been found [Saloff-Coste - Zheng 2021, Erschler - Zheng 2023], **not sharp** in full generality.

For instance, $j_{1, \text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ was not known.

Theorem (C., Dumoncel 2025+)

$$j_{1, \text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(x) \simeq \left(\frac{\log^{\circ n}(x)}{\log^{\circ(n+1)}(x)} \right)^{1/d}$$

We get the isoperimetric profiles of $\text{Shuffler}^{\circ n}(H)$ for more general finitely generated amenable groups H (with mild assumptions).

Quasi-isometry and quantitative orbit equivalence

Theorem (C., Dumoncel 2025+)

Shuffler^{on}(\mathbb{Z}^d) and Shuffler^{om}(\mathbb{Z}^k) are quasi-isometric if and only if $n = m$ and $d = k$.

Quasi-isometry and quantitative orbit equivalence

Theorem (C., Dumoncel 2025+)

Shuffler^{on}(\mathbb{Z}^d) and Shuffler^{om}(\mathbb{Z}^k) are quasi-isometric if and only if $n = m$ and $d = k$.

Quantitative comparison:

Theorem (C., Dumoncel 2025+)

If $m > n$, there exists a $((\log^{\circ \ell})^p, L^0)$ -integrable OE coupling from Shuffler^{on}(\mathbb{Z}^k) to Shuffler^{om}(\mathbb{Z}^d) if and only if $p < \frac{1}{k}$ and $\ell \leq m - n$.

We get more general results on the quantitative orbit equivalence between lampshufflers.

Thank you for listening !

- [CD] Corentin Correia and Vincent Dumoncel. “On quantitative orbit equivalence between Lamplighter-like Groups”. *In preparation*.
- [CD25] Corentin Correia and Vincent Dumoncel. Isoperimetric Profiles of Lamplighter-like Groups. 2025.
- [DKLT22] T. Delabie, J. Koivisto, F. Le Maître, and R. Tessera. “Quantitative Measure Equivalence between Amenable Groups”. In: Annales Henri Lebesgue 5 (2022), pp. 1417–1487.
- [GT24] Anthony Genevois and Romain Tessera. Lamplighter-like Geometry of Groups. 2024.